

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

LATHAM & WATKINS

CHICAGO OFFICE
SEARS TOWER, SUITE 5800
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606
TELEPHONE (312) 876-7700
FAX (312) 993-9767

LONDON OFFICE
ONE ANGEL COURT
LONDON EC2R 7HJ ENGLAND
TELEPHONE + 44-71-374 4444
FAX + 44-71-374 4460

LOS ANGELES OFFICE
633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 4000
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-2007
TELEPHONE (213) 485-1234
FAX (213) 891-8763

MOSCOW OFFICE
113/1 LENINSKY PROSPECT, SUITE C200
MOSCOW 117198 RUSSIA
TELEPHONE + 7-503 956-5555
FAX + 7-503 956-5556

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W., SUITE 1300
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-2505
TELEPHONE (202) 637-1200

FAX (202) 637-1200
TLX 590771
ELN 62193200

PAUL R. WATKINS (1899-1973)
DANA LATHAM (1898-1974)

January 31, 1994

NEW YORK OFFICE
885 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1000
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022-4802
TELEPHONE (212) 906-1200
FAX (212) 751-4864

ORANGE COUNTY OFFICE
650 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 2000
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626-1925
TELEPHONE (714) 540-1235
FAX (714) 755-8290

SAN DIEGO OFFICE
701 "B" STREET, SUITE 2100
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-8197
TELEPHONE (619) 236-1234
FAX (619) 696-7419

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1900
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-2562
TELEPHONE (415) 391-0600
FAX (415) 395-8095

BY HAND

Mr. William F. Caton
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554


Re: Gen. Docket No. 90-314;
Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Mr. Caton:

This is to advise you that on January 28, 1994, representatives of Bell Atlantic Personal Communications, Inc. ("Bell Atlantic") met with Robert Pepper, Chief, and with Donald Gips and Kent Nakamura of the Federal Communications Commission Office of Plans and Policy. At that time, there was a discussion of issues raised on reconsideration of the Commission's Second Report and Order concerning broadband PCS. See In the Matter of Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Establish New Personal Communications Services, Second Report and Order, Gen. Docket No. 90-314, FCC 93-451, 8 FCC Rcd. 7700 (Sept. 23, 1993). A copy of three handouts used in the presentation is attached. There was no discussion of issues or arguments related to this proceeding that are not already set forth in the pleadings filed by Bell Atlantic.

Please direct any questions concerning this matter to me.

Very truly yours,


James H. Barker
of Latham & Watkins

cc: Robert Pepper, Chief
Donald Gips
Kent Nakamura

RECEIVED
JAN 31 1994
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

~~DOCKET FILE COPY DUPLICATE~~

WEAKNESSES OF CURRENT ALLOCATION PLAN

- NO RECORD SUPPORT FOR 10 MHZ BLOCKS
- 10 MHZ BLOCKS ARE NOT ECONOMICALLY VIABLE AS STANDALONE BUSINESS
- CURRENT PLAN YIELDS DIFFICULT AND INEFFICIENT CONSOLIDATIONS
- CURRENT PLAN YIELDS ONLY TWO STRONG COMPETITORS
- "SELF-FULFILLING" PROPHECY


ADVANTAGES OF SIX 20-MHZ BLOCK PLAN

- INCREASED TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY
- INCREASED ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY
- FACILITATION OF MORE EFFICIENT AND ECONOMIC CONSOLIDATIONS OF PCS SPECTRUM BLOCKS
- CREATION OF MULTIPLE STRONG COMPETITORS
- ELIMINATION OF ANY POSSIBLE RATIONALE FOR ELIGIBILITY RESTRICTIONS, ALLOWING ALL COMPANIES TO BRING THEIR RESPECTIVE STRENGTHS TO INFRASTRUCTURE BUILDOUT

Current PCS Attribution Rules

BTA

If Applicant owns 19.9%
of a cellular company
in-region




Cellular Company

then

(§ 90-204)

Applicant may also own
100% of the PCS licensee
in-region.




PCS Licensee

BUT

BTA


If Applicant owns 100%
of the cellular company
in-region



Cellular Company

then

It appears that Applicant may not
own 19% of the PCS licensee in-region,
but instead only 5%.



PCS Licensee

(PCS Order at ¶ 107 & n. 92)